1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

FILED IN THE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

Feb 22, 2024

SEAN F. MCAVOY, CLERK

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

INOSENSIO BUENAVENTURA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF PASCO POLICE DEPARTMENT,

Defendant.

NO: 4:23-CV-05160-RMP

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

On November 28, 2023, the Court received Plaintiff Inosensio
Buenaventura's *pro se* civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. ECF
No. 1. On November 30, 2023, the Clerk of Court instructed Plaintiff to keep the
Court informed of any change of address, stating, "If you do not provide written
notice of your change of address, the District Court Executive/Clerk cannot be
responsible for your inability to receive Court orders and correspondence." ECF
No. 2.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL -- 1

At the time the Complaint was filed, Plaintiff was housed at the Benton
County Jail. ECF No. 1-2 at 1. However, mail addressed to Plaintiff at the
Benton County Jail, the last address provided, was returned as undeliverable on
December 12, 2023. ECF No. 3.

Local Civil Rule 41(b)(2) requires a *pro se* litigant to keep the Court and opposing parties advised as to his current mailing address. If mail directed to a *pro se* plaintiff is returned by the Postal Service, he has sixty (60) days to notify the Court and opposing parties of his current address or the Court may dismiss the action. LCivR 41(b)(2).

The Court has an interest in managing its docket and in the prompt resolution of civil matters. *See Destfino v. Reiswig*, 630 F.3d 952, 959 (9th Cir. 2011) (affirming district court's inherent power to control its docket); *see also Pagtalunan v. Galaza*, 291 F.3d 639, 642–44 (9th Cir. 2002) (discussing factors to consider in dismissing claim for failure to prosecute or failure to comply with court order, including the public's interest in expeditious resolution, the court's need to manage docket, and the risk of prejudice to defendants). Plaintiff has failed to keep the Court apprised of his current address.

19 ||

20 ||

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. This action is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** pursuant to LCivR 41(b)(2).
- 2. The Court certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal of this Order could not be taken in good faith and would lack any arguable basis in law or fact.

IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Clerk is directed to enter this Order, enter judgment, provide copies to Plaintiff at his last known address, and CLOSE the file.

**DATED** February 22, 2024.

s/Rosanna Malouf Peterson
ROSANNA MALOUF PETERSON
Senior United States District Judge